

Notice of Service of Process

null / ALL Transmittal Number: 23114870 Date Processed: 04/27/2021

Primary Contact: Matthew Wilson

Ollie's Bargain Outlet Inc. 6295 Allentown Blvd

Ste 1

Harrisburg, PA 17112-2693

Electronic copy provided to:

Kim Cashman

Cathy Wright

Entity: Ollie's Bargain Outlet, Inc.

Entity ID Number 2439706

Entity Served:

Ollies Bargain Outlet Inc

Title of Action:

Rose Roberson vs. Ollie's Bargain Outlet, Inc.

Matter Name/ID:

Rose Roberson vs. Ollie's Bargain Outlet, Inc. (11177750)

Document(s) Type:

Summons/Complaint

Nature of Action:

Personal Injury

Court/Agency:

Richmond City Circuit Court, VA

Case/Reference No:

760CL20002191-00

Jurisdiction Served:

Virginia

Date Served on CSC:

04/26/2021

Answer or Appearance Due:

21 Days

Originally Served On:

CSC

How Served:

Personal Service

Sender Information:

Michael R. Krol 804-271-1969

Information contained on this transmittal form is for record keeping, notification and forwarding the attached document(s). It does not constitute a legal opinion. The recipient is responsible for interpreting the documents and taking appropriate action.

To avoid potential delay, please do not send your response to CSC

251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, Delaware 19808-1674 (888) 690-2882 | sop@cscglobal.com



COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA



RICHMOND CITY CIRCUIT COURT
Civil Division
400 NORTH 9TH STREET
RICHMOND VA 23219

Summons

To: OLLIES BARGAIN OUTLET INC SERVE: CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY, REGISTERED AGENT 100 SHOCKOE SLIP, SECOND FLOOR Case No. 760CL20002191-00

The party upon whom this summons and the attached complaint are served is hereby notified that unless within 21 days after such service, response is made by filing in the clerk's office of this court a pleading in writing, in proper legal form, the allegations and charges may be taken as admitted and the court may enter an order, judgment, or decree against such party either by default or after hearing evidence.

(CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK)

Appearance in person is not required by this summons.

Done in the name of the Commonwealth of Virginia on, Thursday, April 22, 2021

Clerk of Court: EDWARD F JEWETT

Instructions:

Hearing Official:

Attorney's name:

KROL, MICHAEL R

6601 IRONGATE SQUARE, SUITE A

RICHMOND VA 23234

804.271.1969

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF RICHMOND

ROSE ROBERSON, Plaintiff,

V.

Case No.: 20-2191-7

OLLIE'S BARGAIN OUTLET, INC., Defendant.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, ROSE ROBERSON, by counsel, and moves for judgment and an award of execution against the Defendant, OLLIE'S BARGAIN OUTLET, INC., on the grounds and in the amount set forth below.

- 1. Defendant, OLLIE'S BARGAIN OUTLET, INC. own, operate, and/or lease a commercial retail store that is open to the public and located at 3950 Meadowdale Blvd, Richmond, VA 23234.
- That the commercial retail store located at 3950 Meadowdale Blvd, Richmond,
 VA 23234 during the relevant time period was and is known as Ollie's Bargain Outlet.
- 3. That on or about June 27, 2018, the Plaintiff, ROSE ROBERSON was a business invitee at the Ollie's Bargain Outlet, located at 3950 Meadowdale Blvd, Richmond, VA 23234.
- 4. That on or about June 27, 2018, the Plaintiff, while exercising the care and caution reasonably expected while exiting the store, tripped over a pallet containing a display of laundry detergent and/or got her foot caught on a pallet and fell.
- 5. That as a result of the dangerous, defective and hazardous condition created by the Defendants the Plaintiff, ROSE ROBERSON suffered bodily injuries.

Count I: Negligence

- 6. Paragraphs 1 through 5 are hereby incorporated by this reference and made a part as if restated in full.
- 7. That on or about June 27, 2018, the laundry detergent display on the Premises did not have a covering over the lower area of the open sides of the wooden pallet on which the display was placed.
- 8. As the Plaintiff walked past the laundry detergent display to leave the store, her foot got caught on the open side of the wooden pallet causing her to trip, losing her balance and falling on the floor.
- 9. At all times relevant to this action, the Premises was open to the public for shopping. The Plaintiff, as a member of the public, was there for that reason. As such, Plaintiff was an invitee of Defendant.
- 10. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff, as an invitee, had the right to assume that the Premises were safe for her visit.
- 11. That the Defendant created an inherently dangerous condition; had actual and/or constructive knowledge of this inherently dangerous condition; and/or, knew or should have known that a dangerous condition existed.
- 12. That the Defendant, through their agents and employees, knew or should have known of the inherently dangerous condition.
- 13. That the Defendant knew or should have known, through their own actual or constructive knowledge, or through that of their agents or employees, that the condition carried an unreasonable risk of harm to invitees.

- 14. That the Defendant knew or should have known that an injury such as the one sustained by Plaintiff was the natural and foreseeable consequence of such a hazardous condition.
- 15. That the Defendant had a duty to ensure that they maintained their retail location and provided services, in a manner and in a location reasonably safe for Plaintiff's use as a business invitee.
- 16. That the Defendant had a duty to make their location and services reasonably safe for Plaintiff's use as a business invitee once the hazardous condition developed.
- 17. That the Defendant failed to take reasonable steps to make their location and services reasonably safe for business invitees, including the Plaintiff, following the development of the hazardous condition in the store.
- 18. That as a result of the Defendant's failure to take reasonable steps to make their location and services reasonably safe for business invitees the hazardous condition was present when the Plaintiff was exiting the store when she tripped over a pallet containing a display of laundry detergent on June 27, 2018.
- 19. That the Defendant had a duty to warn Plaintiff of the existence and location of the hazardous condition of which they had actual and/or constructive knowledge.
- 20. That the Defendant did not, and made no effort to, warn Plaintiff of the hazardous condition in question.
- 21. That the Defendant's failure to maintain their location and services in a reasonably safe condition, failure to make their location and services reasonably safe after the condition developed, and failure to notify business invitees, including the Plaintiff, of the hazardous condition, unreasonably breached their common law duties to the Plaintiff.

- 22. That as a direct and proximate consequence of these breaches of duty, Plaintiff suffered bodily injuries.
- 23. That the injuries suffered by Plaintiff were reasonably foreseeable to the Defendants' and their agents.
- 24. That the Defendants' unreasonable breach of their duties to the Plaintiff, being the direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries, constitute negligence.
- 25. That as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' recklessness, gross negligence, per se negligence, ordinary negligence, and / or nuisance, combined and concurring, and under theories of agency/principal, master/servant and/or respondent superior, the Plaintiff, ROSE ROBERSON was caused to sustain serious and permanent injuries; has incurred permanent scarring and disfigurement; has suffered and will continue to suffer pain of body and mind; and has had her ability to engage in and enjoy life adversely affected on account of the incident and resulting injuries.

COUNT II - NUISANCE

- 26. Paragraphs 1 through 25 are hereby incorporated by this reference and made a part as if restated in full.
- 27. The Defendant had a duty of care to the Plaintiff and others on or near their property to make their store reasonably safe while entering or exiting the store or taking measures necessary to ensure that their business invitees would not come into contact with the dangerous placement of the pallet that displayed laundry detergent, to not create a nuisance or allow a nuisance to be created; to maintain immediate control over its premises and equipment so as not to give rise to a nuisance; and to abate any nuisance existing in such areas before they pose a risk of harm to members of the public.

- 28. Notwithstanding such duties, the Defendant allowed a nuisance to come into existence on the property, and in areas used by and travelled upon by the public; failed to maintain immediate control over the dangerous placement of the pallet displaying laundry detergent or take measures to ensure the placement of the pallet displaying laundry detergent was secured and placed in such a manner as to prevent business invitees on the property like the Plaintiff, from falling, tripping and/or injuring themselves while entering or exiting their business such that a nuisance arose in an area used by and travelled upon by the public; and failed to abate the nuisance which had come into existence on the property in an area used by and travelled upon by the public.
- 29. The breach of duties described herein, the failure of the Defendant to secure and properly place the pallet displaying the laundry detergent that created a dangerous hazardous condition and to undertake measures to ensure the walkways while entering and exiting the store are safe and reasonable to business invitees and others on the property, imperiled the safety and endangered the well-being of the Plaintiff and others who were on or near the property, who did not know or have reason to know of the dangerous condition.
- 28. That as a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's creating a nuisance, maintaining a nuisance, and/or failing to abate said nuisance, the Plaintiff, ROSE ROBERSON was caused to sustain serious and permanent injuries; has incurred permanent scarring and disfigurement; has suffered and will continue to suffer pain of body and mind; and has had her ability to engage in and enjoy life adversely affected on account of the incident and resulting injuries.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, ROSE ROBERSON demands judgment against the Defendant, OLLIE'S BARGAIN OUTLET, INC. jointly and severally, in the sum of ONE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND AND 00/100 DOLLARS (\$1,500,000.00) for

compensatory damages, her costs expended in this action and prejudgment interest from the date of injury, under the theory of *respondent superior*, master/servant, vicarious liability and agency principles.

TRIAL BY JURY IS DEMANDED.

ROSE ROBERSON,

BY:

Counsel

Emmet D. Alexander, Esquire (VSB No. 41248)

Michael R. Krol, Esquire (VSB No. 80584)

Robert G. Maury, Esquire (VSB No. 88233)

Alexander Law Group, PLC

6601 Irongate Square, Suite A

Richmond, Virginia 23234

28 804-271-1969

월 804-271-0806

michael@alexanderlawgroupplc.com

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA		(CLERK'S OFFICE USE ONLY)
	City of Richmond	Circuit Court
Rose Roberson	1/ / Sià wa:	Ollie's Bargain Outlet, Inc. et, al.
		Ollie's Bargain Outlet, Inc. et, al. DEFENDANT(S)
, the undersigned [] plaintiff [] defendant he following civil action. (Please indicate by	[X] attorney for [X] plaintiff [] defend	lant hereby notify the Clerk of Court that I am filing ifies the claim being asserted or relief sought.)
GENERAL CIVIL	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	PROBATE/WILLS AND TRUSTS
Subsequent Actions	[] Appeal/Judicial Review of Decisi	on of [] Accounting
[] Claim Impleading Third Party Defendant	(select one)	[] Aid and Guidance
[] Monetary Damages	[] ABC Board	[] Appointment (select one)
[] No Monetary Damages	[] Board of Zoning	[] Guardian/Conservator
[] Counterclaim	[] Compensation Board	[] Standby Guardian/Conservator
[] Monetary Damages	[] DMV License Suspension	[] Custodian/Successor Custodian (UTM
[] No Monetary Damages	[] Employee Grievance Decision	Trust (select one)
Cross Claim	[] Employment Commission	[] Impress/Declare/Create
	[] Local Government	[] Reformation
[] Interpleader	[] Marine Resources Commission	and the state of t
[] Reinstatement (other than divorce or	[] School Board	[] Construe
driving privileges)		[] Contested
[] Removal of Case to Federal Court	[] Voter Registration	[] Contested
Susiness & Contract	[] Other Administrative Appeal	
[] Attachment		MISCELLANEOUS
[] Confessed Judgment	DOMESTIC/FAMILY	[] Amend Death Certificate
[] Contract Action	[] Adoption	[] Appointment (select one)
[] Contract Specific Performance	[] Adoption - Foreign	[] Church Trustee
1 Detinue	[] Adult Protection	[] Conservator of Peace
[] Garnishment	[] Annulment	[] Marriage Celebrant
Property	[] Annulment - Counterclaim/R	
Annexation	Pleading	Settlement
[] Condemnation		
	[] Child Abuse and Neglect – Unfor	
[] Ejectment	Complaint	[] Declaratory Judgment
[] Encumber/Sell Real Estate	[] Civil Contempt	[] Declare Death
[] Enforce Vendor's Lien	[] Divorce (select one)	Driving Privileges (select one)
[] Escheatment	[] Complaint - Contested*	[] Reinstatement pursuant to § 46.2-427
[] Establish Boundaries	[] Complaint - Uncontested*	[] Restoration - Habitual Offender or 3rd
[] Landlord/Tenant	[] Counterclaim/Responsive Plea	ading Offense
[] Unlawful Detainer	[] Reinstatement -	[.] Expungement
[] Mechanics Lien	Custody/Visitation/Support/E	
[] Partition	Distribution	[] Forfeiture of Property or Money
[] Quiet Title	Separate Maintenance	
[] Termination of Mineral Rights		[] Freedom of Information
ort	[] Separate Maintenance Counte	
[] Asbestos Litigation		[] Interdiction
[] Committee Carlles and	WRITS	[] Interrogatory
[] Compromise Settlement	[] Certiorari	[] Judgment Lien-Bill to Enforce
	[] Habeas Corpus	[] Law Enforcement/Public Official Petition
[] Medical Malpractice	[] Mandamus	Name Change
[] Motor Vehicle Tort	[] Prohibition	[] Referendum Elections
[] Product Liability	[] Quo Warranto	[] Sever Order
[] Wrongful Death	(1 dec warrand	[] Taxes (select one)
[X] Other General Tort Liability		[] Correct Erroneous State/Local
		Delinquent
		[] Vehicle Confiscation
		[] Voting Rights - Restoration
Damages in the amount of \$ 1,500,000.00		[] Other (please specify)
/ Danages in the amount of a	are claimed.	
7/18/7020		\
フ/18/て9 と? DATE		A
	[] PLAINTIFF [] DEFENDA	NT [x] ATTORNEY FOR [x] PLAINTIFF [] DEFENDANT
Michael R. Krol		.[] DEFENDANT
PRINT NAME		
6601 Irongate Square, Suite A, Richmond	, VA 23234 / 271-1969 *"Con	tested" divorce means any of the following matters are in
ADDRESS/TELEPHONE NUMBER OF S	IGNATOR dispute	e: grounds of divorce, spousal support and maintenance,
Michael@alexanderlawgrou		ustody and/or visitation, child support, property distribution
		allocation. An "Uncontested" divorce is filed on no fault
	ground	is and none of the above issues are in dispute.
EMAIL ADDRESS OF SIGNATOR (OP	HONAL)	
RM CC-1416 (MASTER) PAGE ONE 07/16		44-14-1